UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,258	06/21/2006	Peter Wasserscheid	DV/4-33285A	7678
1095 NOVARTIS	7590 06/18/200	9	EXAMINER	
	INTELLECTUAL PRO	ROPERTY	COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3 EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/564,258	WASSERSCHEID ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raymond Covington	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12 and 13 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.				
· · · <u> </u>					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original than the correction of the correction of the original than the correction of the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/06, 8/5/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6849774 and/or US 7153974.

US 6849774 teach an ionic mixture comprising a Lewis acid and a triflate of the type recited in the claims. See the summary text and the detailed description. Likewise US 7153974 teach an analogous mixture of Lewis acid, ammonium triflate. See the Brief Summary. In view of the art as a whole the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 1-9, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02/072260

WO 02/072260 teach an ionic liquid-Lewis acid mixture analogous to that recited in the claims by the addition of over 50 mol% of the Lewis acid. WO 02/072260 states (p. 5, I. 21 to p. 6, I. 8) "in FriedeI-Crafts acylation reactions the "catalyst" is usually a stoichiometric reagent. However, catalyst loading can now be lower; as low as 1 mol % metal bis-triflimide can give rise to quantitative yields in FriedeI-Crafts acylation reactions. The compounds of the present invention will catalyze chemical reactions in concentrations within the range 0.0000001 to 1000 mol %, preferably within the range of 0.1 to 20 mol % and more preferably within the range of

0.5 to 5 mol %. This reduces catalyst waste". Thus WO 02/072260 already suggests the use of stoichiometric or superstoichiometric concentrations of Lewis acid, but its preferred embodiments employ smaller concentrations, apparently in the interests of reducing waste. WO 02/072260 also suggests a way of minimizing catalyst waste, see e.g. example 6, where it is stated "The ionic liquid/zinc chloride catalyst system could be used in further reactions, with similar activity". Thus one of ordinary skill would, in light of the teachings of WO 02/072260, know that it was possible to use higher concentrations of catalyst in an ionic liquid, and that this system could be recycled after use. Thus claims 1-12 are obvious over WO 02/072260.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres at telephone number (571) 272-0867.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,258 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

/R. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 /Janet L. Andres/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625